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#### Abstract

This work illustrates the structural relationship between three types of metal squarates as well as the ligand in its acid form and in its monoanion salt. Squaric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, is known to have a polymeric layer structure with planar molecules connected through intermolecular hydrogen bonds. The interlayer distance is only $2.649 \AA$. The crystal of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ is found to contain columns of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right]$repeating units, again connected by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Within the repeated unit, there is a symmetric hydrogen bond connected to two $\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ moieties. A new type of metal squarate with $M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \quad\left[M=\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}\right.$, $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ both belong to space group $P \overline{1}, \quad Z=1$, $a=5.194$ (3),$\quad b=7.454$ (2),$\quad c=8.901$ (2) $\mathrm{A}, \quad \alpha=$ $67.07(2), \beta=77.26(3), \gamma=74.46(4)^{\circ}$, for $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {II }}$ ] is shown to have a layer-type structure, where all $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$ units are bonded into infinite chains via symmetric hydrogen bonds, each $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{2-}$ ligand bridging two metal ions ( $\mu-2$ ) in a trans fashion. The structurally most well understood metal squarate $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \quad\left(M=\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Ni}^{11}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}$, space group $C 2 / c, Z=4$ ) is again a polymeric chain with $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ serving as a bridging ligand between two metal ions ( $\mu-2$ ) in trans positions. A threedimensional polymeric structure is found to have the formula $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ is a bridging ligand between four metal ions ( $\mu-4$ ). Due to the slight difference in packing, there are two structure types in this category: one is in space group $R \overline{3}\left[M=\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\right.$, $a=11.440$ (2), $c=14.504$ (3) $\AA, Z=9$ ], the other is in $P n \overline{3} n\left[M=\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}, a=16.255\right.$ (3) $\left.\AA, Z=24\right]$. The structural relationship between all these structures relies heavily on the understanding of intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds. The interesting building blocks of each compound will be illustrated. There are tunnels of various sizes in all these structures.


## 1. Introduction

Supramolecular architecture out of soluble components is of intense interest (Yaghi \& Li, 1995). A recent communication demonstrates that $4,4^{\prime}$-bpy is suitable to act as rods linking together metal centers to give an
extended solid (Fujita, Kwon, Washizu \& Ogura, 1994; Subramanian \& Zaworotko, 1995). The squarate dianion $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ is an interesting cyclic compound with aromaticity (Cohen, Lacher \& Park, 1959; West, Niu \& Ito, 1963; West \& Powell, 1963; Haahs \& Hegenberg, 1966; Ireland \& Walton, 1967; MacDonald, 1968; Wang, Stucky \& Williams, 1974; Wang \& Stucky, 1974; Frankenbach et al., 1992), with its symmetry likely to be $D_{4 h}$ (Ito \& West, 1963). It is a potential bridging ligand with possible $\mu-2, \mu-3$ and $\mu-4$ between metal ions. The anion could be served as a bidentate ligand, but it was only found in Ag complexes (Robl \& Weiss, 1987). In addition, strong hydrogen bonds among dianions are often formed so that a polymeric chain, a flat sheet or even three-dimensional building blocks are easily formed. Squaric acid, 3,4-dihydroxy-3-cyclobutene-1,2-dione, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, is a flat sheet structure (Semmingsen, 1973; Wang, Stucky \& Williams, 1974; Semmingsen, Hollander \& Koetzle, 1977) with intermolecular hydrogen bonds formed between every hydroxyl group and ketone O atom. Hydro-bishydrosquarate $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(Wang \& Stucky, 1974) is no longer a planar structure, but a column structure of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$ throughout the solid. There are symmetric and asymmetric hydrogen bonds in this solid (Wang \& Stucky, 1974; Lin, Cheng \& Wang, 1994) and detailed studies on its electron density distribution and electrostatic properties have been published recently (Lin, Cheng \& Wang, 1994). The most well investigated metal squarate is $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$, with two bridging $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}(\mu-2)$ ligands at trans positions, which forms an infinite chain structure. Each metal ion is also bonded with four water molecules to form a distorted octahedral geometry. Such metals include $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}, \mathrm{Ni}^{11}$ (Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl, 1986), $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ (Frankenbach et al., 1992; Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl, 1986), $\mathrm{Zn}^{11}$ (Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl, 1986; Robl \& Kuhs. 1988) and $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ (Frankenbach et al., 1992). The former five are isomorphous, with the $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ complex having a slightly different relative orientation of the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ plane and the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ plane (Frankenbach et al., 1992). Another type of metal squarate with the formula $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(M=\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}, \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{Il}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{ll}}\right)$ was

Table 1. Experimental details
Type (1)
Crystal data

| Chemical formula | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}-}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}-\right.} \\ & \left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)-\right.} \\ & \left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 0.33 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula weight | 243.03 | 242.81 | 353.09 | 354.00 | 203.92 | 213.01 |
| Cell setting | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Trigonal | Cubic |
| Space group | C2/c | C2/c | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $R \overline{3}$ | $P n \overline{3} n$ |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 8.9667 (19) | 8.9315 (16) | 5.194 (3) | 5.1577 (10) | 11.4395 (19) | 16.255 (3) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 13.356 (3) | 13.246 (3) | 7.4537 (21) | 7.4107 (13) | 11.4395 (19) |  |
| $c(\AA)$ | 6.7119 (16) | 6.6893 (18) | 8.9007 (18) | 8.7823 (13) | 14.504 (3) |  |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  | 67.07 (2) | 66.846 (12) |  |  |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 99.412 (2) | 99.43 (2) | 77.26 (3) | 77.109 (15) |  |  |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  | 74.46 (4) | 74.748 (15) |  |  |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 793.0 (3) | 780.7 (3) | 303.09 (21) | 295.05 (9) | 1643.7 (4) | 4295.0 (8) |
| Z | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 9 | 24 |
| $D_{x}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 2.036 | 2.066 | 1.935 | 1.992 | 1.854 | 1.977 |
| Radiation type | Mo K $\alpha$ | Mo $K \alpha$ | Mo K $\alpha$ | Mo K $\alpha$ | Mo K $\alpha$ | Mo $K \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.7107 | 0.7107 | 0.7107 | 0.7107 | 0.7107 | 0.7107 |
| No. of reflections for cell parameters | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| $\theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 10.50-25.34 | 11.39-18.81 | 9.56-19.46 | 9.29-16.82 | 7.47-12.70 | 9.39-13.81 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.17 | 2.51 | 1.11 | 1.34 | 2.04 | 2.37 |
| Temperature (K) | 298 | 298 | 298 | 298 | 298 | 298 |
| Crystal form | Rectangle | Rectangle | Square pyramid | Octahedron | Cube | Cube |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.40 \times 0.20 \times$ | $0.20 \times 0.15 \times$ | $0.40 \times 0.40 \times$ | $0.50 \times 0.30 \times$ | $0.20 \times 0.15 \times$ | $0.20 \times 0.20 \times$ |
|  | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| Crystal color | Pale pink | Pale green | Pale violet | Pale yellow | Pale yellow | Pale pink |
| Data collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Nonius CAD-4 | Nonius CAD-4 | Nonius CAD-4 | Nonius CAD-4 | Nonius CAD-4 | Nonius CAD-4 |
| Data collection method | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans | $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans |
| $2 \theta$ scan width ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $2(0.70+0.35 \tan \theta)$ | $2(0.70+0.35 \tan \theta)$ | $2(0.70+0.35 \tan \theta)$ | $2(0.70+0.35 \tan \theta)$ | $2(0.65+0.35 \tan \theta)$ | $2(0.90+0.35 \tan \theta)$ |
| Speed ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) | 2.06, 8.14 | 2.06, 8.14 | 2.06-8.24 | 2.06-8.24 | 2.06-8.24 | 4.0 |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian integration | $\psi$ scan (North. <br>  <br> Mathews, 1968) | $\psi$ scan (North, <br>  <br> Mathews, 1968) | $\psi$ scan (North, <br>  <br> Mathews, 1968) | $\psi$ scan (North, <br>  <br> Mathews, 1968) | Gaussian integration |
| $T_{\text {min }}$ | 0.550 | 0.955 | 0.8834 | 0.92 | 0.9624 | 0.6375 |
| $T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.693 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.9997 | 0.6723 |
| No. of measured reflections | 4351 | 693 | 1193 | 1369 | 574 | 4384 |
| No. of independent reflections | 4139 | 693 | 1064 | 1359 | 486 | 3798 |
| No. of observed reflections | 2425 | 574 | 1021 | 1287 | 435 | 657 |
| Criterion for observed reflections | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ | $I_{\text {net }}>2.0 \sigma\left(I_{\text {net }}\right)$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.038 | - | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 0.012 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 50.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 27.5 | 22.5 | 50.00 |
| Range of $h, k, l$ | $-19 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 19$ | $-10 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 10$ | $-5 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 6$ | $-6 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 6$ | $-9 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 10$ | $1 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 35$ |
|  | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 28$ | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 15$ | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 8$ | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 9$ | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 12$ | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 24$ |
|  | $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 14$ | $0 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 7$ | $-9 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 10$ | $-9 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 11$ | $0 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 15$ | $0 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 20$ |
| No. of standard reflections | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Frequency of standard reflections (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Intensity decay (\%) | 1.0 | Nonc | None | None | None | 2.0 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refinement on | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $R^{*}$ | 0.032 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.055 |
| $n \cdot R^{*}$ | 0.029 | 0.025 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.032 | 0.051 |
| $S^{*}$ | 2.68 | 2.58 | 2.82 | 3.43 | 4.68 | 4.68 |
| No. of reflections used in refinement | 2425 | 574 | 1021 | 1287 | 435 | 657 |
| No. of parameters used | 66 | 66 | 104 | 104 | 55 | 59 |
| H-atom treatment | H atoms placed in calculated positions | H atoms placed in calculated positions | Hatoms placed in calculated positions | H atoms placed in calculated positions | H atoms placed in calculated positions | H atoms located from a difference Fourier map |
| Weighting scheme | $n^{\prime}=1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ | $w=1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ | $\begin{aligned} n^{\prime}= & 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}(F)\right. \\ & +\left(0.000005 F^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $n=1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ | $n \cdot 1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ | $\begin{aligned} w^{\prime}= & 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}(F)\right. \\ & \left.+0.00001 F^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1 (cont.)

|  | Type (1) |  | Type (2) |  | Type (3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}$ | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 1.12 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | -0.92 | -0.47 | -0.32 | -0.56 | -0.24 | -1.38 |
| Extinction method | Secondary (Larson, 1970) | Secondary (Larson, 1970) | Secondary (Larson. 1970) | Secondary (Larson. 1970) | Sccondary (Larson. 1970) | Secondary (Larson. 197()) |
| Extinction coefficient | $0.344(9) \times 10^{4}$ | $4.2(3) \times 10^{+}$ | $0.57(8) \times 10^{4}$ | $0.31(2) \times 10^{4}$ | $0.3(2) \times 10^{4}$ | $1.7(1) \times 10^{4}$ |
| Source of atomic scattering factors | International <br> Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B) | International <br> Tables for X-ray <br> Crystallography <br> (1974. Vol. IV, <br> Table 2.2B) | International <br> Tables for X-ray Cnistallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B) | International <br> Tables for X-ray <br> Cnstallography <br> (1974. Vol. IV. <br> Table 2.2B) | International <br> Tables for X-ray Cristallography (1974. Vol. IV. Table 2.2B) | International <br> Tables for X-ral Cristallograph (1974. Vol. IV. Table 2.2B) |
| Computer programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data reduction | NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lec \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX DATRD 2 (Gabc. Le Page. Charland. Lee \& Whitc. 1989) |
| Structure solution | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White. 1989) | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White. 1989) | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe. Le Page. Charland, Lec \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX SOLVER (Gabe. Le Page. Charland. Lee \& White. 1989) |
| Structure refinement | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | NRCVAX LSTSQ (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& Whitc. 1989) |
| Preparation of material for publication | NRCVAX TABLES (Gabe, Le Page. Charland, Lee \& White, 1989) | nRCVAX TABLES (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White. 1989) | nRCVAX TABLES (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee \& White. 1989) | nRCVAX TABLES (Gabe, l.c Page. Charland. Lee \& White. 1989) | nRCVAX tables (Gabe, Le Page. Charland. Lec \& White. 1989) | NRCVAX TABLES (Gabe. Le Pagc. Charland. Lee \& White. 1989) |

${ }^{*} R=\Sigma\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right) / \Sigma F_{o}$.
Table 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses for the structures of metal squarates: types (1), (2), (3) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}$

Type (1) $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} ; M=\mathrm{Fe},{ }^{(a)} \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu},{ }^{(a)} \mathrm{Zn}^{(b)}$

|  | $M-\mathrm{Ol}_{\text {sq }}$ | $M-\mathrm{O}_{w}$ | $M-\mathrm{O} 4_{w}$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C2} 2^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fe | $2.115(2)$ | $2.151(2)$ | $2.106(2)$ | $1.257(2)$ | $1.255(3)$ | $1.457(3)$ | $1.465(1)$ |
| Co | $2.0772(9)$ | $2.117(1)$ | $2.066(1)$ | $1.250(1)$ | $1.255(2)$ | $1.454(2)$ | $1.461(2)$ |
| Ni | $2.044(2)$ | $2.077(2)$ | $2.038(3)$ | $1.258(4)$ | $1.257(4)$ | $1.455(4)$ | $1.456(5)$ |
| Cu | $2.469(2)$ | $1.948(2)$ | $1.961(3)$ | $1.265(4)$ | $1.257(2)$ | $1.453(5)$ | $1.453(5)$ |
| Zn | $2.076(1)$ | $2.126(1)$ | $2.076(1)$ | $1.250(1)$ | $1.250(1)$ | $1.464(1)$ | $1.467(1)$ |

Type (2) $M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} ; M=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4},{ }^{\left({ }^{(c)}\right)}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{(d)}$


Type (3): $M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} ; M=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}{ }^{(e)} \mathrm{Zn}^{(f)}$

|  | $M-1_{\mathrm{sq}}$ | $M-\mathrm{O} 2_{\mathrm{sq}}$ | $M-\mathrm{O} 3_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{*}$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fe | $2.106(4)$ | $2.144(4)$ | $2.084(4)$ | $1.253(7)$ | $1.255(7)$ | $1.454(7)$ | $1.47(1)$ |
| Co | $2.074(7)$ | $2.138(7)$ | $2.040(5)$ | $1.290(9)$ | $1.20(1)$ | $1.42(1)$ | $1.52(1)$ |
| Ni | $2.060(9)$ | - | $2.09(2)$ | $1.22(1)$ | - | $1.49(2)$ | - |
| Zn | $2.096(3)$ | $2.132(4)$ | $2.053(3)$ | $1.26(1)$ | $1.227(9)$ | $1.46(1)$ | $1.47(1)$ |

(a) Frankenbach et al. (1992). (b) Robl \& Kuhs (1988). (c) Wang, Stucky \& Williams (1974). (d) Wang \& Stucky (1974). (e) Habenschuss \& Gerstein (1974). (f) Weiss, Riegler \& Robl (1986a). $\quad{ }^{*} \mathrm{C} 1 — \mathrm{C} 2$ for Fe complex. $\dagger \mathrm{C} 1 — \mathrm{C} 2 \prime$ for Fe complex.
found to be in cubic symmetry with cell length $\sim 8 \AA$ by powder X-ray diffraction (Habenschuss \& Gerstein, 1974; West \& Niu, 1963; Gerstein \& Habenschuss, 1972), however, it was pointed out that such a cell
might contain a disordered structure. Later a $\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)_{1 / 3}$ structure was determined to have a cubic siructure with cell length $a=16.25 \AA$ (Weiss, Riegler \& Robl, 1986a,b).

In addition to the aforementioned metal squarates, we have found two new types of structures: $M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \quad\left[M=\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Mn}{ }^{\mathrm{II} *}\right.$ (Yaghi, Li \& Groy, 1995)] and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$. The former can be considered as a two-dimensional network built by $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ units. The latter is in a trigonal space group $R \overline{3}$ ( Fe case) in addition to the cubic (Co case) structure. The structural relationship between all these structures are worthy of investigation.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Structures

There are three types of compounds in this report: (1) $\left[M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$, (2) $\left[M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ and (3) $\left[M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$. Type (1) compounds are isostructural and in space group $C 2 / c, Z=4$. There is a symmetry (1) at $M$, when $M=\mathrm{Mn}$, Fe (Frankenbach et al., 1992), Co, Ni and Zn (Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl, 1986). However, the Cu complex crystallizes in a different space group, $P 2_{1} / c$ (Frankenbach et al., 1992). For type (2) compounds we herein report two complexes, $M=\mathrm{Mn}$ and Fe , which both belong to $P \overline{1}$, $Z=1$, and again the metal ion is located at $\overline{1}$. For type (3) compounds we find two structural types: when $M=\mathrm{Fe}$, the compound crystallizes in $R \overline{3}, Z=9$, and the site symmetry of Fe is also $\overline{1}$; when $M=\mathrm{Co}$, it crystallizes in $\operatorname{Pn} 3 n, Z=24$, the site symmetry of Co is on a twofold axis, which bisects the $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Ol}^{\prime}$ angle. These two structures are very similar, however, they are different in packing. Crystal data for all three types are given in Table $1 . \dagger$
2.1.1. Coordination sphere of metal center. The coordination sphere of metal centers in all three types (1), (2) and (3) is distorted octahedron. The geometries at the metal centers for these three types of compounds are illustrated in Fig. 1. In structures of types (1) and (2) there are four coordination sites occupied by O atoms of water molecules $\left(\mathrm{O}_{w}\right)$ and two coordination sites occupied by $O$ atoms from squarates $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}}\right)$. However, in type (3) structures there are only two O atoms from the water molecules and four O atoms from squarates in the coordination sphere. The $M-O$ distances for three types are listed in Table 2. It is worth noticing that $M-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is shorter than one of the $M-\mathrm{O}_{w}$ bonds, but longer than the other $M-\mathrm{O}_{w}$ bond in both types (1) and (2).

One exception is the Cu complex in type (1) (Frankenbach et al., 1992), where the bond length of

[^0]$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is much longer than both bond lengths of $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{w}$, which may be due to the strong Jahn-Teller distortion of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions.
2.1.2. Ligand squarate. According to the formula, the squarate ion in types (1) and (3) should be the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ dianion and is likely to be in $D_{4 h}$ symmetry with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ distances of 1.46 and $1.26 \AA$, respectively. The exact symmetry of this dianion in the crystal is $\overline{1}$ in type (1) structures and the Fe complex of type (3). In the case of the Co complex of type (3), the two independent squarate rings are of 4 and $\overline{4}$ symmetries. The squarates in type (2) are, however, close to a 1,2dione type, similar to those in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (Semmingsen, 1973; Wang, Stucky \& Williams, 1974; Semmingsen,

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 1. Coordination geometries of metal centers in three types: (a) type (1); (b) type (2); (c) type (3).

Hollander \& Koetzle, 1977) and $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}$(Wang \& Stucky, 1974). A comparison of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ distances on various metal squarates, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}$, is also given in Table 2. Each squarate ring here is more like a 1,2 -dione type. The H atom in the ligand is at $\overline{1}$ symmetry. In addition, the IR spectra of the Fe complexes [types (1) and (3)] are consistent with the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ dianion (Ito \& West, 1963; West \& Niu, 1963; Long, 1978). However, additional absorption bands for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ are observed in the IR spectra of the Fe complex in type (2), which are consistent with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (Wang, Stucky \& Williams,

Table 3. Torsional angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}_{s q}-\mathrm{M}$

|  | Mn | Fe | co | Ni | Cu | 2 n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r}3.4 \\ 80 . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.9 | 2.7 | 79.8 | 2.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},\right. \\ & \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 82.7 | 80.1 5.9 | 8.2 |  |  |  |

1974) and the Fe squarate complex, although the predicted structure of the Fe complex was then incorrect (Wrobleski \& Brown, 1978). The best way of describing the relative orientation of the squarate plane in various complexes is by the torsional angle of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ vector in the squarate plane and the vector of $M-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}}$.


Fig. 2. Chain structure of type (1); water molecules are omitted for clarity, shaded sphere is $M$.


Fig. 3. Sheet structure of type (2).

Such an angle can determine whether $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {sq }}$ is coplanar with the squarate plane. This angle is listed in Table 3 for all three types of compounds. It is
interesting to notice that in type (1) compounds all are coplanar $\left(\sim 3^{\circ}\right)$, except the Cu complex which is approximately perpendicular $\left(\sim 80^{\circ}\right)$. However, in



Fig. 5. Sheet structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$;
X 's are marked for interpretation.

Table 4. $O \ldots O$ distances ( $(\dot{A})$ and $\angle O-H \cdots O$ angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for hydrogen bonds (a) Type (1): $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}} ; \mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{O} 4=\mathrm{O}_{w}$

|  | $\mathrm{Fe}^{(a)}$ | Co | Ni | $\mathrm{Zn}^{(b)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03. ${ }^{\text {O2 }}$ | 2.71 | 2.697 (2) | 2.710 (3) | 2.716 (1) |
| LO3-H1 $\cdots$ O2 | 166 | 166 | 169 | 163 |
| O3. O 2 | 2.99 | 2.977 (2) | 2.966 (4) | 2.986 (1) |
| LO3-H2 $\cdots$ O2 | 151 | 134 | 145 | 146 |
| O4. Ol | 2.82 | 2.800 (2) | 2.784 (3) | 2.806 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Ol}$ | 164 | 166 | 157 | 166 |
| O4. ${ }^{\text {O2 }}$ | 2.80 | 2.780 (2) | 2.776 (3) | 2.787 (2) |
| CO4-H4 $\cdots$ O2 | 176 | 168 | 171 | 178 |

(b) Type (2): $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{O} 4=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}} ; \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O} 6=\mathrm{O}_{w}$

|  | Mn | Fe | $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)^{(c)}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-(d)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O2. ${ }^{\text {O } 2}$ | 2.463 (3) | 2.458 (3) | O3. ${ }^{\text {Ol }}$ | 2.544 (1) | $\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.435 (2) |
| LO2 $\cdots \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 180 | 180 | [O3-H3 $\cdots$ Ol | 151 | $\angle \mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 180 |
| O3. O 3 | 2.483 (3) | 2.470 (3) | O2 $\cdots$ O 4 | 2.545 (1) | O3.. Ol | 2.582 (2) |
| LO3 $\cdots$ H2 $\cdots$ O3 | 180 | 180 | - $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 180 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Ol}}{ }$ | 169 |
| O5. ${ }^{\text {O2 }}$ | 2.745 (2) | 2.726 (2) |  |  |  |  |
| LO5-H3 $\cdots$ O2 | 177 | 174 |  |  |  |  |
| 05. 06 | 2.754 (2) | 2.720 (2) |  |  |  |  |
| LO5-H4 . ${ }^{\text {O6 }}$ | 177 | 166 |  |  |  |  |
| O6..O4 | 2.709 (2) | 2.699 (2) |  |  |  |  |
| LO6-H5 . O 4 | 179 | 173 |  |  |  |  |
| O6. ${ }^{0} 4$ | 2.747 (3) | 2.763 (2) |  |  |  |  |
| LO6-H6 $\cdots$ O | 152 | 147 |  |  |  |  |

(c) Type (3): $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sq}} ; \mathrm{O} 3=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$

|  | Co | Fe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $2.74(1)$ | $2.757(5)$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | 135 | 178 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | $2.73(1)$ | $2.738(8)$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{U} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ | 153 | 165 |

(a) Frankenbach et al. (1992). (b) Robl \& Kuhs (1988). (c) Wang, Stucky \& Williams (1974). (d) Wang \& Stucky (1974).
type (2) both structures are perpendicular ( $\sim 80^{\circ}$ ). In type (3) both are roughly coplanar ( $\sim 6^{\circ}$ ).

## 3. Intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds

Hydrogen bonds are very interesting and do play an important role in all the aforementioned compounds. The varieties of squarate forms from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ are found in these structures. A polymeric
column of $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{n}$ or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right)_{n}$ (Wang \& Stucky, 1974; Lin, Cheng \& Wang, 1994) actually exists through strong hydrogen bonds in these structures. Even when the ligand is in its acid form, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (Wang, Stucky \& Williams, 1974; Semmingsen, 1973; Semmingsen, Hollander \& Koetzle 1977), it forms sheets in the solid state via intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Apparently there are symmetric and asymmetric hydrogen bonds commonly observed in these structures.


Fig. 6. Layers of type (2) structure.

A typical symmetric hydrogen bond is very strong with an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of less than $2.5 \AA$ (Maurin, Les \& Winnicka-Maurin, 1995; Kubicki, Borowiak \& Antkowiak, 1995; Lin, Cheng \& Wang, 1994; Alcock, 1990; Semmingsen, 1976; Macintyre \& Werkema, 1964). There are two symmetric hydrogen bonds in type (2) compounds between squarate ions ( $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances of 2.464 and $2.472 \AA$ ). Weaker hydrogen bonds existed between water molecules in the coordination sphere and the O atoms of squarate ions in all these structures. The corresponding $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angles for these compounds are listed in Table 4.

## 4. Structural relationship

Polymeric chains, sheets and three-dimensional building blocks are characteristics of metal squarates. We will start with the chain structure type (1) $\left[M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$, which is quite well known for nearly all the transition metal complexes (Frankenbach et al., 1992; Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl, 1986; Robl \& Kuhs, 1988). The chain directions are along (110) and (110), and the plane of squarate is perpendicular to the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ plane (Fig. 1), as described earlier (West \& Powell, 1963; Frankenbach et al., 1992; Weiss, Riegler, Alt, Bohme \& Robl,


Fig. 7. The three-dimensional building block of type (3); $M=\mathrm{Fe}$, shaded sphere is Fe , water molecules are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 8. The three-dimensional building block of type (3); $M=\mathrm{Co}$, shaded sphere is Co, water molecules are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 9. Packing diagram of type (3); $M=\mathrm{Fe}$, top view of Fig. 7.
1986), where $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ is stacked along the $c$ axis with an interplanar distance of $\sim 3.4 \AA$. The torsion angle of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ vectors between successive pairs in the stack is $115^{\circ}$. Such intersecting chains are displayed in Fig. 2. Each chain is built by a $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ anion bridging between two metal centers in a trans fashion. The same feature occurs for $M=\mathrm{Cu}$, however, the plane of squarate is parallel to that of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ (Frankenbach et al., 1992).
The type (2) squarate $\left[M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}, M=\mathrm{Mn}\right.$ (Yaghi, Li \& Groy, 1995), Fe] is a sheet structure, as shown in Fig. 3. Within the sheet it is apparent that in one direction $(\rightarrow)$ the linking unit is $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}$ bridging between two metal centers in a trans position, however, in the other direction $(\leftrightarrow)$ it is a $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{n}$ column linking between the squarate ions in a cis position, where all the H atoms in the column are symmetrically bonded between two squarates. Therefore, the sheet structure can be described as columns of $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{n}$ interlinked by metal centers. It forms a grid-like parallelogram with size $6 \times 17 \AA$. This is very similar to that of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ (Wang \& Stucky, 1974), where columns of $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}$are separated by the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}^{+}$ion, as shown in Fig. 4. However, in the structure of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$,
the columns are no longer planar, but making an angle of $26.5^{\circ}$ at the symmetric H position (Wang \& Stucky, 1974; Lin, Cheng \& Wang, 1994). The sheet structure of type (2) $\left[M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ can then be related with the structure of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ by breaking the two asymmetric hydrogen bonds in the $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{n}$ column and making a shift along the direction [indicated as $(\rightarrow)$ in Fig. 4] at every other row, so that it forms another symmetric hydrogen bond between squarate units. Another sheet structure is found in the network of squaric acid shown in Fig. 5, where a cavity (grid size) of $6 \times 6 \AA$ is found between four squaric acid molecules. The structural relationship between this $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ compounds is such that when every other row of cavities in the acid, as indicated by an ' $X$ ' in Fig. 5, is completed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}^{+}$in accompanying the breaking of intermolecular hydrogen bonds, the angular anion columns $\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$ are formed between a row of cations, as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, the structures of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4},\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ and [ $\left.M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ type (2) are indeed closely related to one another. The interlayer distances for these three structures are 2.65 (Wang, Stucky \& Williams, 1974), 2.71 (Wang \& Stucky, 1974) and $3.12 \AA$, respectively.


Fig. 10. Packing diagram of type (3): $M=$ Co, top view of Fig. 8 .

The layer-like architecture of $\left[M\left(\mathrm{HC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$ is depicted in Fig. 6.

Lastly, the three-dimensional building blocks of the type (3) squarates $\left[M\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ are formed in two space groups. Unlike the aforementioned structures, where each metal center is bonded to four water molecules, the metal center here is bonded to only two water molecules, the rest are bonded to four squarates and the dianion $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ here is a $\mu-4$ ligand. The building blocks of two structures are illustrated in Figs. 7 and 8 for $M=\mathrm{Fe}$ and Co , respectively. The dimensions of these building blocks are $8 \times 8 \times 8 \AA$ in both cases. It is clear that these two building blocks are very similar, the only significant difference being the relative orientation of the squarates between the successive layers. The packing of the building blocks in $R \overline{3}$ ( Fe case) is such that the linking $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}$ units are stacked in the same orientation between the successive layers, which gives rise to a repeat distance of $8 \AA$, as shown in Fig. 9. However, in the Co case, the relative orientation of such units in successive layers (Fig. 10) differs by $\sim 45^{\circ}$, so that the repeat distance is twice larger ( $16 \AA$ ).

In summary, the structures of all three types of metal squarate complexes are closely related to one another. They are also related to the acid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and bishydrosquarate monoanion form, $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{-}$. The structural relationship is best demonstrated in the packing diagrams.

The authors would like to thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support.
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[^0]:    * While preparing this work, the Mn complex was published independently by Yaghi, Li \& Groy (1995).
    $\dagger$ Lists of atomic coordinates, anisotropic displacement parameters, complete geometry and structure factors have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: OA0002). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

